



## Teacher Licensure and Accreditation

Kansas State Department of Education  
Landon State Office Building  
900 SW Jackson Street, Suite 106  
Topeka, Kansas 66612-1212

(785) 296-2288  
(785) 296-7933 - fax  
[www.ksde.org](http://www.ksde.org)

December 16, 2013

Dr. Rick Ginsberg, Dean  
School of Education  
University of Kansas  
1122 W. Campus Road  
Lawrence, KS 66045

Dear Dr. Ginsberg:

On December 6, 2013, the Evaluation Review Committee (ERC) of the Teaching and School Administration Professional Standards Advisory Board met and considered all appropriate documents, to adopt initial recommendations to the Kansas State Board of Education, regarding the approval of educator preparation program at the University of Kansas. The initial recommendation of the Evaluation Review Committee is enclosed for your review. If you accept the initial recommendation, please inform Teacher Licensure and Accreditation as soon as possible.

State Board Regulation 91-1-235(e) and 91-1-236(e) gives the right to appeal the initial recommendations of the Evaluation Review Committee. If you choose to appeal the initial recommendations, you must within thirty (30) days of receipt of this notification submit a written request to the Commissioner of Education for a hearing before the ERC. This request shall specify, in detail, the basis for the appeal, including identification of each item disputed by the institution.

If a request for a hearing is not submitted within the thirty day period, the initial recommendation of the Evaluation Review Committee shall become the final recommendation of the Committee. The Commissioner will then submit the recommendation of the ERC to the State Board of Education for its consideration and determination.

After final action by the State Board of Education, Stipulated areas for improvement for new programs "Approved with Stipulation" must be addressed in the institution's progress report submitted within 60 days after completion of the second semester of operation of the program. Cited areas for improvement for programs with "Approved" status must be addressed in an institution's Annual Report, but at a time deemed appropriate by the institution. Continuing programs "Approved with Stipulation" must address areas for improvement in an upgrade report during the stipulated two year time period.

If you have any questions regarding the action of the committee or the process for continuing this action, I encourage you to contact Sungti Hsu, (785-291-3573)

Sincerely,

Warren White, Chair  
Evaluation Review Committee  
WW/sh

December 16, 2013

To: Diane DeBacker, Commissioner

From: Evaluation Review Committee

Subject: Initial Recommendations for program approval for University of Kansas

**Introductory Statement:**

On December 6, 2013, the Evaluation Review Committee (ERC) reviewed the application for program approval for University Kansas.

Documents that were received and considered include the program review documents, the initial KSDE Team Report, Institutional Rejoinders to the initial KSDE Team Report, and the final team report.

**PROGRAM APPROVAL RECOMMENDATION**

**Recommend “Approved” status for the following programs through December 31, 2021.**

**Biology, UKan Teach (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-4, 7, and 9-18**

None

**AFI 5.1: The assessment does not address the standards to its entirety. Candidate proficiency cannot be determined from assessment 8.**

**Rationale 5.1:** The level of content knowledge for a single lesson plan in this assessment would not cover the breadth of the standard. A lesson plan created by a candidate would not determine proficiency of the candidate.

**AFI 6.1: The assessment does not address the standards to its entirety. Candidate proficiency cannot be determined from assessment 8.**

**Rationale 6.1:** The level of content knowledge for a single lesson plan in this assessment would not cover the breadth of the standard. A lesson plan created by a candidate would not determine proficiency of the candidate.

**AFI 8.1: The assessment does not address the standards to its entirety. Candidate proficiency cannot be determined from assessment 8.**

**Rationale 8.1:** The level of content knowledge for a single lesson plan in this assessment would not cover the breadth of the standard. A lesson plan created by a candidate would not determine proficiency of the candidate

\*\*\*\*\*

**Chemistry, UKan Teach (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-13**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Earth and Space Science, UKan Teach (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-14**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Math, UKan Teach (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-13**

None

**AFI 1.1 :**        **Assessment 6 does not align with the standard.**

**Rationale 1.1:** It is unclear how this particular standard is assessed by Assessment 6.

**AFI 1.2:**        **Assessment 7 does not align with the standard.**

**Rationale 1.2 :** It is unclear how this particular standard is assessed by Assessment 7.

**AFI 7.1:**        **Assessment 7 does not align with the standard.**

**Rationale 7.1:** It is unclear how this particular standard is assessed by Assessment 7.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Physics (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-13**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Physics, UKan Teach (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-13**

None



## Teacher Licensure and Accreditation

785-296-2288  
785-296-4318 (fax)

120 SE 10th Avenue • Topeka, KS 66612-1182 • 785-296-6338 (TTY) • [www.ksde.org](http://www.ksde.org)

September 17, 2013

Dr. Rick Ginsberg, Dean  
School of Education  
The University of Kansas  
JR Pearson Hall  
1122 W. Campus Road  
Lawrence, KS 66045

Dear Dr. Ginsberg:

Since you chose not to appeal, the September 6, 2013 Evaluation Review Committee (ERC) initial recommendation regarding program approval at University of Kansas will become the final recommendation. This recommendation will be forwarded to the Commissioner of Education for submission to the Kansas State Board of Education for consideration and determination at its October 15-16, 2013 meeting. A copy of the final recommendation of the Evaluation Review Committee is enclosed.

If you have any questions regarding the action of the committee, please feel free to contact Sungti Hsu (785-291-3573).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Warren White". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Warren White, Chair  
Evaluation Review Committee  
WW/sh



## Teacher Licensure and Accreditation

785-296-2288  
785-296-4318 (fax)

120 SE 10th Avenue • Topeka, KS 66612-1182 • 785-296-6338 (TTY) • [www.ksde.org](http://www.ksde.org)

September 16, 2013

Dr. Rick Ginsberg, Dean  
School of Education  
The University of Kansas  
JR Pearson Hall  
1122 W. Campus Road  
Lawrence, KS 66045

Dear Dr. Ginsberg:

On September 6, 2013, the Evaluation Review Committee (ERC) of the Teaching and School Administration Professional Standards Advisory Board met and considered all appropriate documents, to adopt initial recommendations to the Kansas State Board of Education, regarding the approval of educator preparation program at University of Kansas. The initial recommendation of the Evaluation Review Committee is enclosed for your review. If you accept the initial recommendation, please inform Teacher Licensure and Accreditation as soon as possible.

State Board Regulation 91-1-235(e) and 91-1-236(e) gives the right to appeal the initial recommendations of the Evaluation Review Committee. If you choose to appeal the initial recommendations, you must within thirty (30) days of receipt of this notification submit a written request to the Commissioner of Education for a hearing before the ERC. This request shall specify, in detail, the basis for the appeal, including identification of each item disputed by the institution.

If a request for a hearing is not submitted within the thirty day period, the initial recommendation of the Evaluation Review Committee shall become the final recommendation of the Committee. The Commissioner will then submit the recommendation of the ERC to the State Board of Education for its consideration and determination.

After final action by the State Board of Education, Stipulated areas for improvement for new programs "Approved with Stipulation" must be addressed in the institution's progress report submitted within 60 days after completion of the second semester of operation of the program. Cited areas for improvement for programs with "Approved" status must be addressed in an institution's Annual Report, but at a time deemed appropriate by the institution. Continuing programs "Approved with Stipulation" must address areas for improvement in an upgrade report during the stipulated two year time period.

If you have any questions regarding the action of the committee or the process for continuing this action, I encourage you to contact Sungti Hsu, (785-291-3573)

Sincerely,

Warren White, Chair  
Evaluation Review Committee  
WW/sh

September 16, 2013

To: Diane DeBacker, Commissioner

From: Evaluation Review Committee

Subject: Initial Recommendations for program approval for University of Kansas

**Introductory Statement:**

On September 6, 2013, the Evaluation Review Committee (ERC) reviewed the application for program approval for University Kansas.

Documents that were received and considered include the program review documents, the initial KSDE Team Report, Institutional Rejoinders to the initial KSDE Team Report, and the final team report.

**PROGRAM APPROVAL RECOMMENDATION**

**Recommend “Approved” status for the following programs through December 31, 2021.**

**Biology (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-6 and 9-18**

None

**AFI 7.1: Course information is not provided to verify course alignment with the standard.**

**Rationale 7.1:** The rejoinder proposes to use Assessment 5 and identifies HSES 260: Personal and Community Health for this standard and no course description is provided. Six other courses have been identified already as assessments by grades for other standards and this additional course would exceed the current maximum allowed.

**AFI 8.1: Assessment 1a may not be used as a stand-alone assessment.**

**Rationale 8.1:** Per conversation with Dr. Roberts, assessment 1a is removed. The rejoinder re-identifies 1a as the only assessment for this standard after removing Assessment 6. A subcategory score on the Praxis cannot be used as the only assessment for a standard.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Chemistry (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-13**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Earth and Space Science (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-14**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Early Childhood Unified (I, B-Grade 3)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-13**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**English Speakers of Other Languages (A, PreK-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-10**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Foreign Language—Chinese, French, German, Japanese, Latin, Russian, Spanish (I, PreK-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-9**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Health (I, PreK-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-4**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**History, Government, and Social Studies (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-10**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Mathematics (I, 5-8)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-9**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Mathematics (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-9**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Middle Level Science (I, 5-8)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-14**

None

\*\*\*\*\*

**Physical Education (A, P-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-7**

None





## Teacher Licensure and Accreditation

785-296-2288  
785-296-4318 (fax)

120 SE 10th Avenue • Topeka, KS 66612-1182 • 785-296-6338 (TTY) • [www.ksde.org](http://www.ksde.org)

June 24, 2013

Dr. Rick Ginsberg, Dean  
School of Education  
The University of Kansas  
JR Pearson Hall  
1122 W. Campus Road  
Lawrence, KS 66045

Dear Dr. Ginsberg:

On June 21, 2013, the Evaluation Review Committee (ERC) of the Teaching and School Administration Professional Standards Advisory Board met and considered all appropriate documents, to adopt initial recommendations to the Kansas State Board of Education, regarding the approval of educator preparation program at University of Kansas. The initial recommendation of the Evaluation Review Committee is enclosed for your review. If you accept the initial recommendation, please inform Teacher Licensure and Accreditation as soon as possible.

State Board Regulation 91-1-235(e) and 91-1-236(e) gives the right to appeal the initial recommendations of the Evaluation Review Committee. If you choose to appeal the initial recommendations, you must within thirty (30) days of receipt of this notification submit a written request to the Commissioner of Education for a hearing before the ERC. This request shall specify, in detail, the basis for the appeal, including identification of each item disputed by the institution.

If a request for a hearing is not submitted within the thirty day period, the initial recommendation of the Evaluation Review Committee shall become the final recommendation of the Committee. The Commissioner will then submit the recommendation of the ERC to the State Board of Education for its consideration and determination.

After final action by the State Board of Education, Stipulated areas for improvement for new programs "Approved with Stipulation" must be addressed in the institution's progress report submitted within 60 days after completion of the second semester of operation of the program. Cited areas for improvement for programs with "Approved" status must be addressed in an institution's Annual Report, but at a time deemed appropriate by the institution. Continuing programs "Approved with Stipulation" must address areas for improvement in an upgrade report during the stipulated two year time period.

If you have any questions regarding the action of the committee or the process for continuing this action, I encourage you to contact Sungti Hsu, (785-291-3573)

Sincerely,

David Hofmeister, Chair  
Evaluation Review Committee  
DH/sh

June 24, 2013

To: Diane DeBacker, Commissioner

From: Evaluation Review Committee

Subject: Initial Recommendations for program approval for University of Kansas

**Introductory Statement:**

On June 21, 2013, the Evaluation Review Committee (ERC) reviewed the application for program approval for University of Kansas.

Documents that were received and considered include the program review documents, the initial KSDE Team Report, Institutional Rejoinders to the initial KSDE Team Report, and the final team report.

**PROGRAM APPROVAL RECOMMENDATION**

**Recommend “Approved” status for the following programs through December 31, 2021.**

**Art (I, PreK-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-7**

None

**Adaptive Special Education (A, K-6, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-8**

None

**Building Leadership (A, P-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-6**

None

**District Leadership (A, P-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-6**

None

**Early Childhood Unified (I, B-K)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-8**

None

**Early to Late Childhood (I, K-6)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-7**

None

**English Language Arts (I, 6-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-3**

None

**Functional Special Education (A, P-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-8**

None

**Music (I, PreK-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-9**

None

**Reading Specialist (A, P-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-5**

None

**School Psychology (A, P-12)**

Areas for Improvement:

**Standards 1-12**

None